Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/701,412	REINHART ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Katherine W. Mitchell	3677	
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>xaminers amendment proposed</u>		
(1) Katherine W. Mitchell.	(3)		
(2) <u>Randall Heald</u> .	(4) ,		
Date of Interview: 19 January 2006	(4) Time: <u>\(\text{\text{VLM}} \\ (\) \\ (\)</u>		
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:			
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed: n/a			
Claims discussed:			
Prior art documents discussed: n/a	•		
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER Examiner called for an examiner's amendment to fix minor typing allowed independent claim.			ending from
Part III.			
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 			
10 1100			
MUHULY			
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant	'Applicant's Representative Si	gnature – if appro	opriate)